

Hybrid meetings—are they for you?

A guide to help you decide whether or not to conduct hybrid meetings.

Before going through the process of planning *how* your club can hold hybrid meetings, i.e., a combination of in-person and on-line participants, you should carefully consider whether your club *should* have them. They may be a good idea and offer many benefits, or they may actually hinder your members' growth as speakers. It is also possible that it may cost too much in either time or money to be practical, especially if they only benefit a very few members or if your club is small—the level of cost and effort may not actually be worth the return in some cases. It is important to think through this before deciding to actually do them. So before delving into the mechanics of how they can be done, and for this I refer you to my *User's Guide for Conducting Hybrid Meetings*, let us consider the advantages and disadvantages of doing them and consider some important questions.

This guide is intended to help guide the discussion for your club, but the actual decision is, of course, up to you.

Advantages	Disadvantages
Can allow temporarily remote members	Can lead to a tendency to be less
(vacationing, on business trips, etc.) to	professional in dress and demeanor
participate	
Can allow members outside the club's	May require resources that are not readily
immediate geographic area	accessible
Can allow meetings to continue during	Can hinder development as a speaker
adverse weather (allows an option to not	(more difficult to use gestures and
attend physically, but an entirely on-line	maintain audience eye contact, use of
meeting might be a better option in many	speaking area)
cases)	One and the adverter was at a financial
Provides opportunities to learn how to	Can reduce development of personal
communicate effectively on-line (i.e., how to use the medium to advantage)	relationships that are beneficial for club and personal growth
Can allow members who cannot travel to the	Are subject to frustrating technology
meeting to participate	failures that can cause participants to drop
meeting to participate	off or freeze
Can make media-based projects such as	Can reduce interaction with the audience
podcasts and webinars more effective	Can i dado interaction with the addition
Can provide opportunities to learn technical	Can be derailed by someone forgetting a
skills	critical component

Questions that should be "Yes"	Yes	No	Score +1 for Yes 0 for No
Will it enhance the member experience?			
Will it contribute the club attaining excellence?			
Will it increase the education benefits available to the club's members?			
Will it increase the professionalism of the club?			
Does the club have, or is it willing to obtain, the resources required to conduct effective hybrid meetings? (Some club members may already have some or all the required equipment and may allow the club to use it—but responsibility for ensuring it shows up must be clearly planned and understood.)			
If you are borrowing a club member's equipment, will it consistently be available for club meetings?			
Will the club's physical meeting space accommodate the set-up required to permit participants to join remotely?			
Is having members join on-line even available as an option at the meeting's physical location(s)? (e.g., is Wi-Fi available, is there power)			
Will members joining remotely be integrated fully into the meeting? (i.e., effectively fill any required meeting role, not just speakers and observers)			
Will enough members be likely to participate remotely to justify the expense and level of effort of doing them?			
Will enough members by participating in-person to justify the expense and level of doing them?			
Are you willing to put in the set-up and break down time that doing this will require to do well?			
Can the club obtain/provide required training?			
Score			

Clubs must decide for themselves what an acceptable score is for hybrid meetings to be viable, but as a minimum it should be at least half. Also, clubs may "weight" the questions scoring to account for specific issues that are of greater concern to the club than others.

Questions that should be "No"	Yes	No	<u>Score</u> -1 for Yes 0 for No
Will holding hybrid meetings result in an unreasonable burden being placed on a small number of members?			
Will it create friction amongst members that could weaken the club?			
Will it place any of the club's members at a disadvantage?			
Will it reduce the quality of meetings (more difficulty starting on time, more difficult to include all members, distractions and delays caused by technical difficulties, etc.)?			
Score			

As with the "Yes" questions above, clubs must decide how many "No's" is too many; half or more is probably a good benchmark but in your club just one might be too many. Again, the questions can be weighted to allow for issues of particular concern.

You can also subtract the "No" score from the "Yes" score to arrive at an overall score that might be a useful guide. If a "perfect" score is 16, then a club can establish a minimum acceptable score that is required before deciding to hold hybrid meetings.